



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER 09/493,871	FILING DATE 01/28/2000	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT Christopher Evans et al.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 11714-PO2
----------------------------------	---------------------------	---	----------------------------------

EXAMINER Mitra Aryanpour	
ART UNIT 3711	PAPER NUMBER 10

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mitra Aryanpour (3) Christopher Evans
(2) Jerry Cohen (4) Harvey Ray
Date of Interview June 4, 2002

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6

Identification of prior art discussed: Schachner, Ainscough et al., Sowards, Dudley Vartija et al., moore

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Samples of the prior art were brought & compared to the present invention. The Examiner believes Prior art of record in combination meets the structural limitation. Additional structural limitation should be included, in order to distinguish over the prior art. The functional results of the invention is not sufficient to overcome the prior art. No allowable language was agreed upon.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

Mitra Aryanpour

Applicant is submitting
Rule 132 Declaration
by 5-7-02.